UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA



CENTRAL DIVISION

The defendant has filed a motion (Doc. 34) to suppress statements with a supporting memorandum (Doc. 35). The government filed a response (Doc. 40). United States Magistrate Judge Moreno conducted an evidentiary hearing on July 1, 2009. The defendant and counsel for both parties were present. I have conducted a *de novo* review of the transcript (Doc. 53) and all other documents and exhibits.

The magistrate issued a report and recommendation (Doc. 52) after announcing his bench decision (Doc. 54). The recommendation is to deny the motion to suppress.

The defendant filed objections (Doc. 56) dealing with the recommendation as to the suppression motion which objections are without legal merit.

The report and recommendation should be adopted, the suppression motion denied, and the objections overruled.

Now, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED, as follows:

- 1) The motion (Doc. 34) to suppress statements of the defendant is denied.
- 2) The report and recommendation (Doc. 52) is adopted.

3) The objections (Doc. 58) of the defendant to the report and recommendation are
overruled.
Dated this 2/3 day of July, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

CHARLES B. KORNMANN United States District Judge

ATTEST:

JOSEPH HAAS, CLERK BY: Dalbara

Jaepho DEPUTY

(SEAL)